	Case 1:24-cv-00635-SAB Document	3 Filed 06/03/24	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	ZACHARIAH DANIELS,	1:24-cv-00635	SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	V.		
14	A. GARCIA, et al.,		
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42		
18	U.S.C. § 1983.		
19	The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity		
20	jurisdiction, be brought only in "(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all		
21	defendants are residents of the State in which the district is located, (2) a judicial district in which		
22	a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part		
23	of the property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) if there is no district in which an		
24	action may otherwise be brought as provided in this section, any judicial district in which any		
25	defendant is subject to the court's personal jurisdiction with respect to such action." 28 U.S.C. §		
26	1391(b).		
27	///		
28	///	1	

In this case, none of the defendants reside in this district. The claim arose in Monterey County, which is in the Northern District of California. Therefore, plaintiff's claim should have been filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. In the interest of justice, a federal court may transfer a complaint filed in the wrong district to the correct district. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974). Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. IT IS SO ORDERED.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated: **June 3, 2024**